

# Some Free Advice to Speaker Mike Johnson

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2023



Photo: US House of Representatives [website](#)

(Oct. 25, 2023) — Finally..., after weeks of telegraphing to the nation..., and the world..., how dysfunctional the GOP House of Representatives can be, that body has actually [elected](#) a new Speaker: Cong. Mike Johnson (R. LA).

Simultaneously telegraphing its dogmatic and hyper-political disdain for healing the House in these – let us use a polite understatement ..., “troubled times.” – every House Democrat who voted cast their ballot for Nancy (“I-Left-My-Brain-in-San-Crapcisco”) Pelosi replacement, Cong. Hakeem Jeffries (D. NY). And because Johnson is a devout Christian, he will likely become the favorite target of the Left. That said, if newly-minted Speaker Johnson possesses one-tenth the wisdom of Senator John Kennedy (R. LA), also of Louisiana, the House should hopefully be back on track soon. We shall see.

And speaking of getting back on track..., as once discussed [here](#) when Speaker Kevin McCarthy held the gavel, Speaker Johnson, now being second in the line of presidential succession after Word Salad Queen Kamala Harris, should be doing some intense and serious research.

Specifically, because of the increasing likelihood that one day before Brandon’s term is (mercifully) over, he may fall again and crack his head open, or alternatively so injure himself as to render him “unable to discharge the duties of his office...” under the 25<sup>th</sup> Amendment, the issue of whether Harris is even eligible to become the “Acting

President” under the amendment will come to the front center burner, and on full boil. With Brandon out, we’d be in deep peril.

Stated otherwise, Johnson’s aides and advisors should be carefully considering whether to bring an action challenging her constitutional eligibility on the grounds that she is very likely *not* a “natural born Citizen” as required by Art. 2, § 1, Cl. 5 of the Constitution.

The term “very likely” is used because the Supreme Court has not..., repeat, *not* ruled on this specific issue in a live “case or controversy” involving the presidency. This advice, of course, is free..., and therefore worthless..., but is mentioned just for historical purposes. Plainly, Speaker Johnson would have litigant “standing” to pursue such an action..., as did Mike Pence..., that eunuch.

On the other hand, because the Supreme Court has shown, sadly, remarkable insouciance on the topic and has, through Justice Thomas’s [remarks](#), suggested it is intentionally “evading” a decision on the issue, the likelihood of a successful ruling on the question is, let us say, something short of a “slam dunk.”

Still, the only way to ensure failure is to forego any attempt in the first place. And, BTW, given the ominous clouds of WW III beginning to sulk on the Middle East horizon, faithful *P&E* readers – as well as Speaker Johnson – should ask themselves this: who do you think would be the better Commander-in-Chief when the first nuke detonates: Kamala Harris or Mike Johnson?